Original Article

Access this article online



Website: www.jehp.net DOI: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_719_19

Clinical Research Development Unit, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran, ¹Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Veda Vakili, Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: vakiliv@mums. ac.ir

> Received: 01-12-2019 Accepted: 11-03-2020 Published: 28-07-2020

Steps toward community health promotion: Application of transtheoretical model to predict stage transition regarding smoking

Maryam Emadzadeh, Veda Vakili¹

Abstract:

BACKGROUND: Recently, the Iranian Ministry of Health estimated about 750,000 deaths are attributed smoking complications. The aim of this study was to apply the transtheoretical model (TTM) on general population of Mashhad city to evaluate the stages of change and possible cultural factors regarding smoking cessation.

METHODS: This descriptive, cross-sectional population-based study was conducted in Mashhad, Iran. A total number of 562 participants selected by multistage sampling. They were asked about stages of change for smoking cessation for both hookah and cigarette smoking. Stages of change refer to an orderly sequence of changes in smoking behavior that people progress through according to the TTM. Its six stages are as follows: precontemplation (PC) (no intention to quit smoking within 6 months), contemplation (planning to quit smoking in the following 6 months), preparation (planning to stop smoking within 1 month), action (quit smoking for <6 months), maintenance (stopped smoking for 6 months or more), and termination (will never smoke again). Data were analyzed using tests such as Chi-square, Kruskal–Wallis, and logistic regression by SPSS 11.5.

RESULTS: About 18% and 19% of people in this study were regular hookah and cigarette smokers, respectively. There was significant difference between cigarette smoking and sex (P < 0.001) and marital status (P = 0.01). There was a statistically significant difference between stages of change in men and women from the point of cigarette smoking (P < 0.001). Male sex, hookah smoking, and alcohol abuse were predictors of PC, and age was the only predictor of termination stage for cigarette smoking. Sex did not have any role in hookah smoking.

CONCLUSION: Targeted education based on gender and marital status warrant attention. Community-wide education and interventions such as increasing the price of cigarettes are desired. **Keywords:**

Health promotion, Iran, smoking, tobacco, transtheoretical model

Introduction

Smoking is a negative health behavior with Slarge influences economically.^[1] It also increases the risk of physical impairment.^[2] Cigarette smoking is the largest preventable cause of death in the world.

The WHO reported that death due to tobacco were five million people in the year

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

2008 and would be about eight million a year by 2030.^[3,4] Nowadays, almost one-third of the world population are smokers.^[5] Around 35% of males and 22% of females in developed countries and about 50% of males and 9% of females in developing countries smoke.^[6] Although it is reported that the prevalence of smoking is reduced in developed countries, this issue is now more highlighted in some developing countries. In Iran, the prevalence of smoking has

How to cite this article: Emadzadeh M, Vakili V. Steps toward community health promotion: Application of transtheoretical model to predict stage transition regarding smoking. J Edu Health Promot 2020;9:177.

© 2020 Journal of Education and Health Promotion | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

raised from 12.6% in 2000 to 14.3% in 2004.^[7] The Iranian Ministry of Health estimated about 750,000 of Iranians died from smoking-associated complications in 2006 and 15% of men (older than 15) currently smoke in this country.^[8,9]

The transtheoretical model (TTM) was first introduced by Prochaska and DiClemente.^[10] This is a model of intentional change with special attention to the decision-making capacities of the people rather than the social effects on behavior. This model covers different behaviors in the various populations. The behavioral change includes six stages (precontemplation [PC], contemplation, preparation, action, maintenance, and termination). People need stage-targeted programs to progress through the stages successfully.^[10-13] The TTM enables the use of suitable interventions for each stage.^[14] Behaviors that have been studied using this model include quitting smoking and cocaine, weight control, safer sex and condom use, sunscreen use, exercise acquisition, and mammography screening.^[13] The model was found to be effective in research studies of smoking cessation.^[11] The TTM has been widely accepted by both clinicians and scientists working in the field of public health.^[14] Although this model is practical for any health behavior, it has been used most in the field of smoking cessation.^[15]

The main goal of the current study was to assess the usage of the TTM to evaluate the stages of change and possible culturally important factors regarding smoking cessation.

Methods

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional population-based study conducted in Mashhad, Iran. Mashhad, with the population of more than three million, is located in the north east of Iran. This city is the capital of Razavi Khorasan Province and is also one of the largest religious cities in the world and the second largest metropolis in Iran.^[16-18]

In this survey, a total number of 562 participants were enrolled. Multistage sampling was allied. At first step according to municipal maps, public centers randomly selected from all over the city. Public transport stations, public parking lots, shopping centers, banks, and universities all around the city were assigned for collecting data. In each sampling unit, the researchers tried not to miss any city district and cover all districts and crowded parts of the city. The survey was conducted using a checklist including sociodemographic characteristics, factors related to smoking behavior, and stages of change.^[19] Stages of change refer to an orderly sequence of changes in smoking behavior that people pass through based on the TTM. Due to this model, individuals in PC stage have no intention to quit smoking within 6 months. A contemplator is a person who smokes, but is planning to quit in the following 6 months. Someone in preparation stage is planning to stop smoking within 1 month and has done some initial practices toward it. A person is in action when he/she has quit smoking for <6 months. Those in maintenance phase have stopped smoking for 6 months or more, and finally, individuals in termination stage will never smoke again.^[19,20]

The Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences approved the study (IR.MUMS. fm.REC.1395.443). The interviewers described the research goals to participants. Participants were assured about the privacy of their individual information, and once they consented, then they completed the checklist.

We used SPSS 11.5 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) for all statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the pattern of the data. Chi-square test was applied to test the statistical significance of the association between categorical data. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used in nonnormal distributions. Logistic regressions were used to predict factors influence on PC and termination stages. All tests were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 562 persons enrolled in this survey. The youngest participant was 11 and the oldest was 84 years old. About 50% of participants were under 28. Table 1 shows participants' characteristics in detail for men and women separately. About 45% of participants were single, 44% of men and 66% of women were married. We divided job status into four groups: self-employed, employee, jobless, and students. About 61% of participants had academic degree or studied at university, whereas only 0.5% of participants were illiterate.

It is shown that 10.5% of participants consumed alcohol. The frequencies of smoking hookah and cigarette were 18% and 19%, respectively.

The median age of smokers and nonsmokers was 31 and 28, respectively (P = 0.018). All cigarette smokers smoked hookah too. There was a significant difference in cigarette smoking by gender (P < 0.001). About 86.9% of smokers were male. 56% of cigarette smokers were single and about one-fourth were students (24.5%). Significant difference was found in cigarette smoking by marital status (P = 0.01), but Chi-square test did not indicate any significant difference in smoking by educational level (P = 0.4).

Emadzadeh and Vakili: Smoking behavior in Iran

Variable	Men (<i>n</i> =316), <i>n</i> (%)	Women (<i>n</i> =246), <i>n</i> (%)	P	
Age (median) years	26	30	0.04ª	
Marital status				
Single	175 (55.4)	80 (32.5)	<0.001 ^b	
Married	140 (44.3)	162 (65.8)		
Occupation				
Self employed	90 (72.6)	34 (27.4)	<0.001b	
Employee	59 (55.7)	47 (44.3)		
Jobless/housekeeper	34 (25)	102 (75)		
Student	100 (72.5)	38 (27.5)		
Education				
Nonacademic	126 (59.4)	86 (40.6)	0.19 ^b	
Academic	183 (53.8)	157 (46.2)		
Medical history				
Diabetes	12 (60)	8 (40)	0.73 ^b	
CVD	18 (66.7)	9 (33.3)	0.26 ^b	
Hepatobiliary system	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	0.46 ^b	
Respiratory	10 (66.7)	5 (33.3)	0.41 ^b	
Cancer	1 (20)	4 (80)	0.10 ^b	
BMI (median) (mg/m ²)	23.87	23.51	0.72ª	
Cigarette smoking	93 (29.4)	14 (5.7)	<0.001b	
Hookah smoking	70 (22.1)	31 (12.6)	0.004 ^b	
Alcohol	43 (13.6)	16 (6.5)	0.007 ^b	
Addiction	7 (2.2)	3 (1.2)	0.38 ^b	

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants by gender

^aMann-Whitney test, ^bChi-square test. BMI=Body mass index, CVD=Cardiovascular disease

From the perspective of cigarette smoking TTM, we found that 53 (19.1%) of participants were in PC stage, 22 (7.9%) were in contemplation, 20 (7.2%) were in preparation, 4 (1.4%) were in action, 11 (4%) were in maintenance, and 168 (60.4%) were in termination stage of change. Stages of change for hookah smoking were also examined; 62 (22.3%) of participants were in PC stage, 12 (4.3%) were in contemplation, 17 (6.1%) were in preparation, 11 (4%) were in action, 14 (5%) were in maintenance, and 162 (53.8%) were in termination stage. Table 2 reveals the frequency of participants in each stage separated by gender [Note that discrepancies in numbers of smokers in Tables 1 and 2 arise from this point that some of smokers did not know exactly where they stay in stages of change, so their information is missed in Table 2]. As shown in Table 2, there was a statistically significant difference between males and females from the point of cigarette smoking (P < 0.001), but there was no significant difference in hookah smoking (P = 0.27).

Demographic characteristics are listed in Tables 3 and 4 by different stages of TTM in both cigarette and hookah smoking. Median age and marital status were significantly different between stages of change in cigarette smoking TTM. As shown in Tables 3 and 4, the median age in precontemplation and contemplation in cigarette smokers is higher than other stages.

To indicate predicted factors of PC and termination stages of both cigarette and hookah smoking, we applied logistic regression analysis. The negative state of variables considered as reference. As shown in Table 5, male gender, hookah smoking, and alcohol abuse were predictors of PC (R^2 =0.172), and only male gender was predictor of termination stage (R^2 =0.034) for cigarette smoking. Gender did not have any role in hookah smoking, whereas alcohol abuse, addiction, and cigarette smoking were predictors of hookah smoking for both PC and termination stages [Table 5].

Discussion

Smoking is a well-known risk factor for many noncommunicable diseases and a great health threat with increasing prevalence in developing countries. It is responsible for many premature deaths worldwide and has increased in recent years.^[21-23]

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first conducted in Iran using TTM to describe both hookah and cigarette smoking patterns.

Near one-fifth of participants in this study reported smoking cigarettes. Reported prevalence of cigarette smoking is really wide across the world. Al-Zalabani and Kasim reported that smoking prevalence was about 16% in Medina, Saudi Arabia, whereas it was reported to be about 19% in Brazil.^[21,24] The highest prevalence of smoking in the general population of North Africa was noted in Tunisia (about 25%).^[25]

A recent meta-analysis conducted in Nigeria reported that the pooled prevalence of smoking is 10.4% and 17.7% for the current and ever smokers, respectively. This study indicated considerable variation across geographical areas, from 5.4% in North-west to 32.1% in North-East.^[26] The range across Iran is also quite wide. A meta-analysis conducted by Moosazadeh in 2013 found that smoking prevalence was estimated to be 22.9 (20.6–25.2) in men

Table 2: Stages of	change for	cigarette	and hookah
smoking by gende	r		

Variable	Men, <i>n</i> (%)	Women, <i>n</i> (%)	Р
Cigarette smoking			
PC	45 (84.9)	8 (15.1)	<0.001ª
С	19 (86.4)	3 (13.6)	
Р	18 (90)	2 (10)	
Smokers	82	13	
A	4 (100)	0 (0)	
Μ	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	
Т	95 (56.9)	72 (43.1)	
Quitters	109	73	
Hookah smoking			
PC	41 (66.1)	21 (33.9)	0.27ª
С	9 (75)	3 (25)	
Р	13 (76.5)	4 (23.5)	
Smokers	63	28	
A	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	
Μ	9 (64.3)	5 (35.7)	
Т	97 (60.2)	64 (39.8)	
Quitters	116	70	

^aChi-square test. PC=Precontemplation, C=Contemplation, P=Preparation, A=Action, M=Maintenance, T=Termination

	Table	3:	Demographic	characteristics	by	hookah	smoking	stage
--	-------	----	-------------	-----------------	----	--------	---------	-------

and 0.6 (0.3–0.9) in women. He also reported that more than one-fifth of men from 15 to 64-years-old from west of Iran smoked cigarettes.^[27] Our result was higher than many studies previously conducted in Iran, for example, smoking prevalence in Shiraz and Shahrood were 9.7% and 11.3%, respectively. Likewise, Boskabady *et al.* found a 12.7% smoking prevalence in Mashhad in 2010.^[28-30]

In this study, the prevalence of hookah and cigarette smoking was approximately equal (18% vs. 19%). These rates were higher than the first study of prevalence in Mashhad which reported that hookah and cigarette smoking prevalence was about 8.6% and 12.7%, respectively.^[29,31] Not only did the rate of hookah smoking double in the past 4 years in Mashhad, but also it was higher than that found in other studies in other parts of Iran. According to Iran's sixth national surveillance of risk factors of noncommunicable diseases (SuRFNCD-2011) on 10,572 individuals, the prevalence of hookah smoking was 3.4%.[32] It also has higher rates than in developed countries such as the United States and Britain.^[28,30,33-36] These differences may be because of differences in study population, for example, Mohammadpoor and Rahman studies only included students. Our result is, however, much lower than Combrink *et al.*'s study in Johannesburg found the rate of hookah smoking was 60%.^[37] Hoseainrezaee et al. also found the prevalence of 21.2% but they only included doctors and nurses.^[38] In another study conducted by Maziak et al., it is shown that Iran ranked the third among middle eastern countries in point of the prevalence of

Variable	PC	С	Р	Α	Μ	т	Р
Age (median)	28	23.5	24	28	26	27	0.77ª
Marital status, n (%)							
Single	34 (22.2)	8 (5.2)	10 (6.5)	7 (4.6)	8 (5.2)	86 (56.2)	0.92 ^b
Married	28 (22.4)	4 (3.2)	7 (5.6)	4 (3.2)	6 (4.8)	76 (60.8)	
Education, n (%)							
Nonacademic	28 (28.6)	7 (7.1)	3 (3.1)	3 (3.1)	3 (3.1)	54 (55.1)	0.11 ^b
Academic	34 (19.2)	5 (2.8)	13 (7.3)	8 (4.5)	11 (6.2)	106 (59.9)	
Sleep duration (median) (h/day)	8	8.5	7	7	7	8	0.23ª
BMI (median) (mg/m ²)	24.15	24.05	25.52	24.8	24.87	23.45	0.61ª

^aKruskal-Wallis test, ^bChi-square test. PC=Precontemplation, C=Contemplation, P=Preparation, A=Action, M=Maintenance, T=Termination, BMI=Body mass index

Table 4: Demographic characteristics by cigarette smoking stage

Variable	PC	С	Р	Α	Μ	т	Р
Age (median)	32	42	23.5	22	30	27	<0.001ª
Marital status, n (%)							
Single	30 (20.3)	9 (6.1)	17 (11.5)	4 (2.7)	4 (2.7)	84 (56.8)	0.01 ^b
Married	23 (17.7)	13 (10)	3 (2.3)	0 (0)	7 (5.4)	84 (64.6)	
Education, n (%)							
Nonacademic	21 (20.8)	12 (11.9)	9 (8.9)	1 (1)	3 (3)	55 (54.5)	0.36 ^b
Academic	31 (17.8)	10 (5.7)	11 (6.3)	3 (1.7)	8 (4.6)	111 (63.8)	
Sleep duration (median) (h/day)	7	7	7	8	7	8	0.83ª
BMI (median) (mg/m ²)	24.09	24.62	23.83	20.17	26.23	23.66	0.06ª

^aKruskal-Wallis test, ^bChi-square test. PC=Precontemplation, C=Contemplation, P=Preparation, A=Action, M=Maintenance, T=Termination, BMI=Body mass index

Emadzadeh and	Vakili: Smoking	behavior in Irar
---------------	-----------------	------------------

Variable	В	SE	Wald	df	Р	Exp(<i>B</i>)
Cigarette smoking						
PCª						
Male	1.488	0.542	7.541	1	0.006	4.427
Hookah	-0.885	0.402	4.856	1	0.028	0.413
Alcohol	-1.95	0.428	20.783	1	<0.001	0.142
Constant	-0.531	0.585	0.825	1	0.364	0.588
Termination ^b						
Male	-0.995	0.444	5.023	1	0.025	0.37
Constant	1.139	0.406	7.869	1	0.005	3.125
Hookah smoking						
PC°						
Alcohol	-1.241	0.432	8.242	1	0.004	0.289
Addiction	1.759	0.939	3.509	1	0.061	5.805
Cigarette smoking	-1.153	0.376	9.395	1	0.002	0.316
Constant	0.450	0.374	1.450	1	0.229	1.568
Termination ^d						
Alcohol	1.318	0.469	7.885	1	0.005	3.737
Addiction	-21.348	15324.637	<0.001	1	0.999	<0.001
Cigarette smoking	1.348	0.356	14.382	1	<0.001	3.851
Constant	-1.642	0.452	13.230	1	<0.001	0.194

^a*R*²=0.172, ^b*R*²=0.034, ^c*R*²=0.13, dR2=0.188. SE=Standard error, PC=Precontemplation

waterpipe smoking (more than 25%), but this result only included data of 13–15 years old.^[39]

We found that both hookah and cigarette smoking were more common among men than women especially cigarette smoking. This finding is consistent with other studies reporting that men smoke cigarettes at higher rates than women.^[24,40-42] Cigarette smoking prevalence was six times higher in men compared to women whereas hookah smoking was about two times higher. This difference between genders in hookah smoking (compared with cigarette smoking) could be due to both the cultural acceptance of hookah in families and the hookah's lower stigma. In our study, the frequency of men in each stage of TTM for cigarette smoking was statistically significantly higher than women, whereas we did not find this pattern in hookah smoking. This point can also be explained by previous reason.

There was no significant relationship between educational level and smoking. This result replicated what Kaleta found in his study, but in many studies, we can see inverse relationship between educational attainment and smoking.^[43-46] In the systematic review by Lu *et al.*, the pooled prevalence of current smoking among 68253 medical students was 10.93% which was lower than what found in Chinese undergraduates (22.8%). This study also showed that the rate of the current smoking in male medical students (24.09%) was higher than the estimated rates in Chinese adolescent males. It showed that the relation between education and smoking status was more complicated than what is though.^[47-49] Educational programs focusing on smoking

harm reduction for all educational levels would be of interest.

Just as observed earlier, in this study male gender was one of the predictors of cigarette smoking. Agrawal et al. found that gender was the strongest predictor for smoking. Other predictors in this study were area of residence, education and age. In another study conducted in India, poverty and poor education were strong risk factors for smoking.^[46,50] In the study conducted by Coban et al. in the European Union, smoking onset in the younger age and lower academic achievement were related with nicotine dependence.^[51] The same risk factors for both hookah and cigarette smoking reported.^[52] Etter in the United States showed that higher prevalence of cigarette smoking was associated with lower intention to guit smoking.^[53] Linde's study on the U.S. Air Force military recruits showed that hookah use was associated with cigarette smoking and ethnicity; and age and married status had inverse associations with hookah use.[54]

Our results indicated that about one-fourth of the current cigarette and hookah smokers have low or no intention to quit smoking (PC stage). While more than half of the smokers quit successfully (termination stage). This could relate to the current advertising bans and increasing the price of tobacco products after Iran's economic crash in 2012 results of Global sanctions. Previous studies showed price increase was the strongest predictor of smoking cessation as well.^[55] Furthermore, the role of quit smoking campaigns and complete smoke-free home policy are indicated in some studies.^[56,57] The Global

Emadzadeh and Vakili: Smoking behavior in Iran

Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) conducted from 2011 to 2017 in 20 low- and middle-income countries depicted that 15% of the participants were in PC and 5% were in preparation stage.^[56]

The latest CDC report which analyzed GATS data of 28 countries between 2008 and 2016, reported the quit attempt of about 42% in the next 12 months.^[58]

It is desirable to notice limitations of the present study in interpretations of results. Under-reporting of tobacco products use among our participants is possible. At first social desirability bias could not be avoided due to desire of people on being flawless and having an acceptable image in the community. Interviewer's bias may have occurred too. Finally, the whole lifestyle and socioeconomic factors which related to smoking could not be assessed; and this may reduce the power of the current survey. Mental health could be another area of attention in future studies because many studies showed the relationship between smoking and mental health. Designing longitudinal studies in Iran would be of interest in future.

Conclusion

Due to the high affinity of smoking and also not wanting to quit it, policymakers should focus on preventing smoking and its predictors. Targeted education based on gender and marital status warrant attention. Community-wide education and interventions such as increasing the price of cigarettes are desired.

Acknowledgment

The cooperation of Clinical Research Development Unit of Ghaem Hospital is highly appreciated.

Financial support and sponsorship

This study was funded by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (code: 941246).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Macnee CL, McCabe S. The transtheoretical model of behavior change and smokers in Southern Appalachia. Nurs Res 2004;53:243-50.
- Amiri S, Behnezhad S. Smoking as a risk factor for physical impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 18 cohort studies. J Addict Dis 2019;38:1-4.
- 3. Meysamie A, Ghaletaki R, Zhand N, Abbasi M. Cigarette smoking in Iran. Iran J Public Health 2012;41:1-4.
- 4. World Health Organization. WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic: The MPOWER Package. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.
- 5. Slama K. Current challenges in tobacco control. Int J Tuberc Lung

Dis 2004;8:1160-72.

- 6. Mackay J, Eriksen M. The Tobacco Atlas. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002.
- 7. Roohafza H, Sadeghi M, Shahnam M, Shokouh P, Teimori S, Amirpour A, *et al*. Social norms of cigarette and hookah smokers in Iranian universities. ARYA Atheroscler 2013;9:45-50.
- Akbari ME, Naghavi M, Soori H. Epidemiology of deaths from injuries in the Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J 2006;12:382-90.
- Charkazi A, Shahnazi H, Ghourchaei AB, Mirkarimi K. Smoking behaviors in Iranian male students: An application of transtheoretical model. J Educ Health Promot 2012;1:22.
- 10. Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC. Transtheoretical therapy: Toward a more integrative model of change. Psychother: Theory, Res Pract 1982;19:276.
- Pantaewan P, Kengganpanich M, Tanasugarn C, Tansakul S, Termsirikulchai L, Nityasuddhi D. Three intervention levels for improving smoking behavior among royal Thai army conscripts. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2012;43:1018-24.
- 12. Roberts B, Gilmore A, Stickley A, Rotman D, Prohoda V, Haerpfer C, *et al.* Changes in smoking prevalence in 8 countries of the former Soviet Union between 2001 and 2010. Am J Public Health 2012;102:1320-8.
- 13. Velicer WF, Prochaska JO, Fava JL, Rossi JS, Redding CA, Laforge RG, *et al.* Using the transtheoretical model for populationbased approaches to health promotion and disease prevention. Homeost Health Dis 2012;40: 174-95.
- Plummer BA, Velicer WF, Redding CA, Prochaska JO, Rossi JS, Pallonen UE, *et al.* Stage of change, decisional balance, and temptations for smoking: Measurement and validation in a large, school-based population of adolescents. Addict Behav 2001;26:551-71.
- 15. Andersen S, Keller C. Examination of the transtheoretical model in current smokers. West J Nurs Res 2002;24:282-94.
- Razavi Khorasan (Iran): Counties & Cities Population Statistics in Maps and Charts. City Population De. Available from: http://www.citypopulation.de/php/iran-khorasa nerazavi.php?cityid=091605. [Last accessed on 2020 Mar 24].
- Hosseinzadeh S, Jahadi TM. The effects of expansion of Mashhad Metropolise on the natural drainage patterns and increase of urban floods. GEOGRAPHICAL RESEARCH QUARTERLY 2007;39:145-159.
- Mousavi Bazzaz M, Zarifian A, Emadzadeh M, Vakili V. Driving behaviors in Iran: A descriptive study among drivers of Mashhad City in 2014. Glob J Health Sci 2015;7:39-45.
- Marcus BH, Selby VC, Niaura RS, Rossi JS. Self-efficacy and the stages of exercise behavior change. Res Q Exerc Sport 1992;63:60-6.
- Robert B. Wallace NK, John M. Last Public Health and Preventive Medicine. 15th ed. United States of America: Mc Graw Hill; 2008.
- Al-Zalabani A, Kasim K. Prevalence and predictors of adolescents inverted question mark cigarette smoking in Madinah, Saudi Arabia: A school-based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2015;21:15-7.
- 22. Baheiraei A, Hamzehgardeshi Z, Mohammadi MR, Nedjat S, Mohammadi E. Personal and family factors affecting life time cigarette smoking among adolescents in Tehran (Rahman, #19): A community based study. Oman Med J 2013;28:184-90.
- Ebrahimi H, Sahebihagh MH, Ghofranipour F, Sadegh Tabrizi J. Initiation and continuation of smoking in Iran: A qualitative content analysis. Int J Community Based Nurs Midwifery 2014;2:220-30.
- 24. Barreto RB, Pincelli MP, Steinwandter R, Silva AP, Manes J, Steidle LJ. Smoking among patients hospitalized at a university hospital in the South of Brazil: Prevalence, degree of nicotine dependence, and motivational stage of change. J Bras Pneumol 2012;38:72-80.
- 25. Serhier Z, Bendahhou K, Soulimane A, Bennani Othmani M, Ben Abdelaziz A. Prevalence of smoking in the Maghreb:

Emadzadeh and Vakili: Smoking behavior in Iran

A systematic review and meta-analysis. Tunis Med 2018;96:545-56.

- Adeloye D, Auta A, Fawibe A, Gadanya M, Ezeigwe N, Mpazanje RG, *et al*. Current prevalence pattern of tobacco smoking in Nigeria: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health 2019;19:1719.
- Moosazadeh M. Meta-analysis of prevalence of smoking in 15-64-year-old population of west of Iran. Int J Prev Med 2013;4:1108-14.
- Abdollahifard G, Vakili V, Danaei M, Askarian M, Romito L, Palenik CJ. Are the predictors of hookah smoking differ from those of cigarette smoking? Report of a population-based study in Shiraz, Iran, 2010. Int J Prev Med 2013;4:459-66.
- 29. Boskabady MH, Mahmoudinia M, Eslamizade MJ, Boskabady M, Shakeri MT, Heydari GR. The prevalence of smoking among the population in the city of Mashhad (north east of Iran) and pulmonary function tests among smokers. Pneumonol Alergol Pol 2011;79:21-5.
- Hamrah MS, Harun-Or-Rashid M, Hirosawa T, Sakamoto J, Hashemi H, Emamian MH, *et al.* Smoking and associated factors among the population aged 40-64 in Shahroud, Iran. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013;14:1919-23.
- Boskabady MH, Farhang L, Mahmoodinia M, Boskabady M, Heydari GR. Prevalence of water pipe smoking in the city of Mashhad (North East of Iran) and its effect on respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function tests. Lung India 2014;31:237-43.
- 32. Ghelichkhani P, Yaseri M, Yousefifard M, Baikpour M, Asady H, Oraii A, *et al.* The gap of cigarette and hookah smoking between socioeconomic groups in Iran: Effect of inequalities on socioeconomic position. Arch Iran Med 2018;21:418-24.
- Goodwin RD, Grinberg A, Shapiro J, Keith D, McNeil MP, Taha F, et al. Hookah use among college students: Prevalence, drug use, and mental health. Drug Alcohol Depend 2014;141:16-20.
- 34. Grant A, Morrison R, Dockrell MJ. Prevalence of waterpipe (Shisha, Narghille, Hookah) use among adults in great Britain and factors associated with waterpipe use: Data from cross-sectional online surveys in 2012 and 2013. Nicotine Tob Res 2014;16:931-8.
- Mohammadpoorasl A, Abbasi Ghahramanloo A, Allahverdipour H, Modaresi Esfeh J. Prevalence of Hookah smoking in relation to religiosity and familial support in college students of Tabriz, northwest of Iran. J Res Health Sci 2014;14:268-71.
- Rahman S, Chang L, Hadgu S, Salinas-Miranda AA, Corvin J. Prevalence, knowledge, and practices of hookah smoking among university students, Florida, 2012. Prev Chronic Dis 2014;11:E214.
- Combrink A, Irwin N, Laudin G, Naidoo K, Plagerson S, Mathee A. High prevalence of hookah smoking among secondary school students in a disadvantaged community in Johannesburg. S Afr Med J 2010;100:297-9.
- Hoseainrezaee H, Khodabandeh S, Kheradmand A, Pilehvarzadeh M. Frequency of smoking and specialized awareness among doctors and nurses of hospitals in Kerman, Iran. Addict Health 2013;5:51-6.
- Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, Islam F, Jaber R, Auf R, *et al.* The global epidemiology of waterpipe smoking. Tob Control 2015;24 Suppl 1:i3-12.
- 40. Heydari G, Yousefifard M, Hosseini M, Ramezankhani A, Masjedi MR. Cigarette smoking, knowledge, attitude and prediction of smoking between male students, teachers and clergymen in Tehran, Iran, 2009. Int J Prev Med 2013;4:557-64.
- 41. Jamshidi Ardeshiri M, Moosazadeh M, Feizi Masouleh M, Feizi Masouleh M, Kiani A, Fakhri M. Prevalence of smoking in 15-64 years old population of north of Iran: Meta-analysis of the

results of non-communicable diseases risk factors surveillance system. Acta Med Iran 2013;51:494-500.

- 42. Moosazadeh M, Ziaaddini H, Mirzazadeh A, Ashrafi-Asgarabad A, Haghdoost AA. Meta-analysis of smoking prevalence in Iran. Addict Health 2013;5:140-53.
- Bui TV, Blizzard L, Luong KN, Truong Nle V, Tran BQ, Ha ST, *et al.* Declining prevalence of tobacco smoking in Vietnam. Nicotine Tob Res 2015;17:831-8.
- 44. Kaleta D, Usidame B, Dziankowska-Zaborszczyk E, Makowiec-Dąbrowska T, Leinsalu M. Prevalence and factors associated with hardcore smoking in Poland: Findings from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (2009-2010). BMC Public Health 2014;14:583.
- 45. Sreeramareddy CT, Pradhan PM, Mir IA, Sin S. Smoking and smokeless tobacco use in nine South and Southeast Asian countries: Prevalence estimates and social determinants from demographic and health surveys. Popul Health Metr 2014;12:22.
- Thakur JS, Prinja S, Bhatnagar N, Rana S, Sinha DN. Socioeconomic inequality in the prevalence of smoking and smokeless tobacco use in India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013;14:6965-9.
- Han J, Chen X. A meta-analysis of cigarette smoking prevalence among adolescents in China: 1981-2010. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2015;12:4617-30.
- Ji CY, Chen TJ, Song Y, Hu PZ, Xing Y, Zhang L. Smoking status of high school and college students in China. Chin J Sch Health 2009;30:109-11.
- 49. Niu L, Liu Y, Luo D, Xiao S. Current smoking behavior among medical students in mainland China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Asia Pac J Public Health 2018;30:610-23.
- Agrawal M, Jain S, Maitin N, Gupta T, Maitin S. Prevalence and predictors of tobacco use among general public of Gorakhpur district, India. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res 2015;5:16-20.
- Coban FR, Kunst AE, Van Stralen MM, Richter M, Rathmann K, Perelman J, *et al.* Nicotine dependence among adolescents in the European Union: How many and who are affected? J Public Health (Oxf) 2019;41:447-55.
- Mohammad-Alizadeh-Charandabi S, Mirghafourvand M, Tavananezhad N, Karkhaneh M. Prevalence of cigarette and water pipe smoking and their predictors among Iranian adolescents. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2015;27:291-8.
- Etter JF. Associations between smoking prevalence, stages of change, cigarette consumption, and quit attempts across the United States. Prev Med 2004;38:369-73.
- Linde BD, Ebbert JO, Pasker CK, Wayne Talcott G, Schroeder DR, Hanson AC, *et al.* Prevalence and predictors of hookah use in US Air Force military recruits. Addict Behav 2015;47:5-10.
- Hopkins DP. The guide to community preventive services: Tobacco use prevention and control: Reviews recommendations, and expert commentary. Am J Prev Med 2001;20:1-88.
- Owusu D, Quinn M, Wang K, Williams F, Mamudu HM. Smokefree home rules and cigarette smoking intensity among smokers in different stages of smoking cessation from 20 lowand-middle income countries. Prev Med 2020;132:106000.
- 57. Seangpraw K, Tonchoy P. Factors related intention to smoking cessation among Thai people who joined quit smoking project for the king: A cross-sectional study of Northern Thailand. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2019;31:512-6.
- 58. Ahluwalia IB, Smith T, Arrazola RA, Palipudi KM, Garcia de Quevedo I, Prasad VM, *et al.* Current tobacco smoking, quit attempts, and knowledge about smoking risks among persons aged ≥15 years - global adult tobacco survey, 28 countries, 2008-2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1072-6.