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#### Abstract

BACKGROUND: Knowledge of medical students about research has been little explored. Although there has been a practice of medical research among undergraduate level, the practice is not universal and there have been potential barriers and difficulties in carrying out the research. The present study was done to find the perception and attitude toward medical research and to assess the practices and potential barriers in carrying out medical research.

METHODOLOGY: This cross-sectional study was conducted among 344 medical school students of a medical college in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, in 2018. Systematic random sampling was used. The study tool was self-administered questionnaire including questions on knowledge, barrier, and 5-point Likert scale for assessing attitude. Adequate knowledge (>70\% correct answers) and positive attitude (>25 score) were considered.

RESULTS: Among the 344 study participants, only 127 (36.9\%) of students had adequate knowledge and 68 (19.8\%) had positive attitude toward medical research. Regarding practice of research, only $34.3 \%$ had conducted a research and only $17.4 \%$ had published in journals. Difficulty in choosing topic, difficulty in collecting data, and allocation of time amidst academic activities were considered as a barrier by $41.6 \%, 40.7 \%$, and $45.9 \%$, respectively. Association of adequate knowledge and practice was statistically significant for age ( $P=0.000$ ), gender ( $P=0.000$ ), and academic year $(P=0.000)$. CONCLUSION: Adequate knowledge and positive attitude were lacking in majority of students. Barriers could be addressed by support programs and sensitization toward research.
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## Introduction

Tn view of prompt change in disease _pattern, training in medical research is considered to be an important aspect of medical education. Conducting a research helps to get a more precise picture of individual/community health and also aids in health system policy-making. Many studies have proved that medical students though they have a reasonable attitude toward research only about $50 \%$ of students participated in research during

[^0]their undergraduate period. Literature has shown that cost and complexity of research and time crisis led to decline in research among students. ${ }^{[1]}$ Furthermore, research is very essential for evidence-based medicine practice. Due to the changing pattern of disease, evidence-based medicine is very crucial in the modern medicine to prevent and treat the disease. Medical research paves a way toward evidence-based medicine. ${ }^{[2]}$

Studies have reported that medical students have only moderate level of knowledge toward medial research and very low level of practice. Majority of the medical students perceived research as stressful

[^1]and complex. ${ }^{[3]}$ Intensive training and student support program in this regard have been associated with significant improvement in knowledge and attitudes of students toward health research. ${ }^{[4,5]}$ Although many medical colleges have incorporated research methodology training through workshops and continuing medical education, they do not accentuate the importance of it during medical practice. ${ }^{[6]}$

Knowledge of undergraduate medical students about research has been little explored. Although there has been a practice of medical research among undergraduate level, the practice is not universal and there have been potential barriers and difficulties in carrying out the research. ${ }^{[7]}$ Studies which assessed the practice have not explored attitude toward medical research and much of the barriers faced. With this intent, the present study was planned to address the gap by assessing knowledge, attitude, practice, and barriers altogether. The objectives of the study were to find the perception and attitude toward medical research among medical school students and to assess the practices of medical research and potential barriers in carrying out the medical research among medical school students.

## Methodology

The study was a cross-sectional type of study conducted among medical school students of a medical college in Chennai, Tamil Nadu. The study duration was from May 2018 to September 2018. The study population constitutes medical school students - Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) belonging to first to final academic years of a private medical school in Chennai.

## Sample size determination

Sample size calculation for cross-sectional studies $=4^{*} p^{*} q / L^{2}$
( p - prevalence, $\mathrm{q}=1 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{L}$ - relative error).
Considering the prevalence of knowledge from previous study as $70 \%,{ }^{[5]}$ the sample size calculated from the formula was 172 . On adjusting the sample size with a design effect of 2, the final sample included was 344.

## Sampling

The sampling method followed was systematic random sampling. Eighty-six students were included from every academic year of MBBS. - 43 males and 43 females were included from $1^{\text {st }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ year. Attendance of every batch was used to include the first 43 male and 43 female students of a batch after obtaining written informed consent. Those who were not willing to participate were not considered in that order, and the next student who consented to
participate was included until reaching the required sample in each academic year.

## Study tool

A pretested, validated, self-administered questionnaire that assesses knowledge/perception, attitude, practice, and barriers of conducting research was used. The study tool consists of five sections. Section I consists of general information such as age, sex, academic year, education, and occupation of parents. Section II comprised questions, which assesses the knowledge/perception about medical research such as type of research, research hypothesis, Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely (SMART) criteria of objective, probability sampling, informed consent form, research protocol, data entry, and sources of research. Section III assesses the attitude toward medical research using 5-point Likert scale. Section IV of the questionnaire was framed to find the practice of medical research among medical students by asking them whether they have conducted any medical research, accessed peer-reviewed journal, attended/presented in any conferences, and published their work in any journals. Section V assessed and explored the barriers faced by them in conducting medical research.

## Outcome of the study

Potential barriers, knowledge about medical research, practice of medical research, correct knowledge, and adequacy in practice were the study outcomes.

## Data analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel sheet and analyzed with IBM SPSS software version 21.0, India. Adequate knowledge was considered when at least $70 \%$ of the knowledge questions were answered right. Adequate practice was considered when at least $50 \%$ of the questions were answered positive. Scores were given to statements of perception - strongly agree -5 , agree -4 , neutral -3 , disagree -2 , and strongly disagree -1 . A score of $>25$ was considered to be positive attitude toward research. Proportions and percentages of correct knowledge, right attitude, and practices on medical research and its barriers were calculated. Chi-square test and Mann-Whitney test were applied. $P<0.05$ was considered significant.

## Ethical consideration

This study has been approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from the students of academic year (I-IV MBBS) who were willing to participate in the study.

## Results

Among the 344 study participants, around $50.3 \%$ were in the age group of 20 and 21 years and around
$66.3 \%$ were hostellers. About $50 \%$ of the participants are male and $50 \%$ are female. Among the participants, any of the parents' occupation as research based was 31 (9\%) and nonresearch-based parent occupation was 313 ( $91 \%$ ).

Among the 344 study participants, only 108 (31.4\%) can correctly choose the description of hypothesis and only 87 ( $25.3 \%$ ) had correct knowledge about SMART criterion components of research objective. Correct knowledge about sampling methods, ethical consideration of research, components of research protocol, and data analysis software were $43.6 \%$, $41 \%, 39 \%$, and $29.3 \%$, respectively. One hundred and ninety-four ( $56.4 \%$ ) respondents had knowledge about the agencies of medical research. Majority (79.9\%) did not know about online medical research database such as PubMed [Table 1]. Adequate knowledge was considered (when at least $70 \%$ of the knowledge questions were answered right), about medical research was found in 127 (36.9\%) of students.

Table 1: Distribution of study participants according to knowledge about medical research ( $n=344$ )

| Knowledge on research <br> components | Correct <br> knowledge, $n(\%)$ | Incorrect <br> knowledge, $n(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Types of research | $107(31.1)$ | $237(68.9)$ |
| Hypothesis description | $108(31.4)$ | $236(68.6)$ |
| Components of research <br> protocol | $134(39)$ | $210(61)$ |
| SMART objective <br> description | $87(25.3)$ | $257(74.7)$ |
| Sampling methods | $150(43.6)$ | $194(56.4)$ |
| Data analysis software <br> Ethical considerations | $101(29.3)$ | $243(70.7)$ |
| Agencies for medical <br> research | $141(41)$ | $203(59)$ |
| Online medical research <br> database | $69(56.4)$ | $150(43.6)$ |

SMART=Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely

A five point Likert scale was used to assess the perception about research. It has two sections - attributes of research and motivation and barriers. Among the 344 study participants, around $42.2 \%$ strongly agreed that medical research can be pursued as an exclusive job career. Around $31.4 \%, 27 \%$, and $40.7 \%$ strongly agreed that research will enrich the medical education and parents and teachers' support is important for conducting a research, respectively [Table 2]. Positive attitude (a score of $>25$ ) toward medical research was found in 68 (19.8\%) of students.

Only $34.3 \%$ had conducted a research and only $17.4 \%$ had published an article. More than half of the study participants ( $59.6 \%$ ) have attended a research methodology workshops or conferences, but only 95 (27.6\%) had presented in research conference. Adequate practice of medical research was found in $60(17.4 \%)$ of students [Table 3].

Difficulty in choosing topic, difficulty in collecting data, and allocation of time amidst academic activities were considered as a barrier by $41.6 \%, 40.7 \%$, and $45.9 \%$, respectively. Around $38.7 \%$ considered getting permission from review board as a barrier for conducting research. All ( $100 \%$ ) of the study participants have faced at least one barrier [Table 3].

Association between knowledge and practice of medical research with various variables showed that age, gender, and academic year were statistically significant than the other variables [Table 4].

The mean score of gender was 21.88 for males and 21.5 for females and that of the parents' occupation was 21.8 for research-based occupation and 20.2 for nonresearch-based occupation [Table 5]. Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to find any difference in mean scores of perception in various academic year and age groups.

Table 2: Distribution of study participants according to attitude about medical research ( $n=344$ )

| Variable | Strongly agree, $n$ (\%) | Agree, $n$ (\%) | Neutral, $n$ (\%) | Disagree, $n$ (\%) | Strongly disagree, $n(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attributes of medical research |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can consider medical research as an exclusive future job career option after medical school completion | 145 (42.2) | 141 (41) | 45 (13.1) | 11 (3.2) | 2 (0.6) |
| Research contributes to innovations in medical field | 100 (29.1) | 146 (42.4) | 74 (21.5) | 18 (5.2) | 6 (1.8) |
| Financial prospects are good for research career | 51 (14.8) | 119 (34.6) | 99 (28.8) | 48 (14) | 27 (7.8) |
| Research enriches the medical education | 108 (31.4) | 122 (35.5) | 81 (23.5) | 15 (4.4) | 18 (5.2) |
| Research helps in the improvement of clinical skills | 96 (27.9) | 142 (41.3) | 76 (22.1) | 16 (4.7) | 14 (4.1) |
| Motivation and barriers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical students get special attention from their peers on doing research | 55 (16) | 187 (54.4) | 59 (17.2) | 24 (7) | 19 (5.5) |
| Parents' support is important for doing research | 93 (27) | 138 (40.1) | 75 (21.8) | 28 (8.1) | 10 (2.9) |
| Teacher guidance is important for doing research | 140 (40.7) | 127 (36.9) | 60 (17.4) | 11 (3.2) | 6 (1.7) |
| Lack of time is an obstacle for conducting a research | 110 (32) | 125 (36.3) | 71 (20.6) | 25 (7.3) | 13 (3.8) |
| Conducting research is hard and stressful | 91 (26.5) | 112 (32.6) | 101 (29.4) | 22 (6.4) | 18 (5.2) |

It was found that there was no significant difference in mean ranks of age groups - 19-20 (179.6), 21-22 (165.6), and $\geq 23$ (135.4) years. Likewise, there was no significant

| Serial number | Variables | Yes, $n$ (\%) | No, $n(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice of medical research |  |  |  |
| 1 | Participated in research methodology workshop | 205 (59.6) | 139 (40.4) |
| 2 | Have searched for medical journals | 117 (34) | 227 (66) |
| 3 | Have written a research protocol | 117 (34) | 227 (66) |
| 4 | Conducted a medical research | 118 (34.3) | 226 (64.7) |
| 5 | Have done a scientific presentation in a conference | 95 (27.6) | 249 (72.4) |
| 6 | Published of research study in journal | 60 (17.4) | 284 (82.6) |
| Barriers to medical research |  |  |  |
| 1 | Difficulty in choosing topic | 143 (41.6) | 201 (58.4) |
| 2 | Getting permission from review boards | 133 (38.7) | 211 (61.3) |
| 3 | Difficulty in writing proposal | 104 (30.2) | 240 (69.8) |
| 4 | Difficulty in collecting data | 140 (40.7) | 204 (59.3) |
| 5 | Difficulty in analysis | 111 (32.3) | 233 (67.7) |
| 6 | Difficulty in writing report | 88 (25.6) | 256 (74.4) |
| 7 | Allocation of time among routine academic activities | 158 (45.9) | 186 (54.1) |

to practice and barriers of medical research ( $n=344$ )
difference in mean ranks of academic years $-1^{\text {st }}$ year (136.3), $2^{\text {nd }}$ year (124.2), $3^{\text {rd }}$ year (145.4), and $4^{\text {th }}$ year (126.5).

## Discussion

Knowledge on medical research among undergraduates has an appropriate placement in their academic foundation and critical thinking. The knowledge is important to understand the basic models in health-related literature that being studied and to increase broad thinking and communication skills and also to combat the professional competency in their specialties in future. ${ }^{[8,9]}$ In this study, $31.4 \%$ had knowledge about the concept of hypothesis and $41 \%$ had knowledge about informed consent. A study done by Satav PJ et al. ${ }^{[10]}$ showed that $85 \%$ were aware about the concept of informed consent and $48 \%$ were aware about the hypothesis concept. Similarly, a study done by Pawar DB et al. ${ }^{[11]}$ showed that $58 \%$ had concept of hypothesis and 98\% had knowledge about the informed consent. Conducting regular workshop on research, small group literature review, introducing research methodology in the curriculum, proper motivation, and the guidance from the teachers will help to increase the knowledge and skills of conducting research among medical students. ${ }^{[6]}$

A study conducted by Meraj et al. ${ }^{[3]}$ inferred that 65.7\% of students considered research to useful for their professional careers and relevant to their daily life and $62.2 \%$ perceived research as stressful complex. In our present study, $83.2 \%$ of respondents had agreement (strongly agree plus agree) that medical research was a career option after MBBS. Furthermore,

Table 4: Association between knowledge and practice of medical research with selected variables ( $n=344$ )

| Variables | Adequate knowledge, $\boldsymbol{n}$ (\%) | Inadequate knowledge, $n$ (\%) | $P$ | Adequate practice, $n$ (\%) | Inadequate practice, $n$ (\%) | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-20 | 93 (47.2) | 104 (52.8) | 0.000 | 20 (10.2) | 177 (89.8) | 0.000 |
| 20-22 | 32 (23.9) | 102 (76.1) |  | 34 (25.4) | 100 (74.6) |  |
| $\geq 23$ | 2 (15.4) | 11 (84.6) |  | 6 (46.2) | 7 (53.8) |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 47 (27.3) | 125 (72.7) | 0.000 | 37 (21.5) | 135 (78.5) | 0.032 |
| Female | 80 (46.5) | 92 (53.5) |  | 23 (13.4) | 149 (86.6) |  |
| Academic year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ year | 27 (31.4) | 59 (68.6) | 0.000 | 7 (8.1) | 79 (91.9) | 0.000 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ year | 55 (64) | 31 (36) |  | 11 (12.8) | 75 (87.2) |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ year | 31 (36) | 55 (64) |  | 14 (16.3) | 72 (83.7) |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ year | 14 (16.3) | 72 (83.7) |  | 28 (32.6) | 58 (67.4) |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hosteller | 82 (36) | 146 (64) | 0.389 | 34 (14.9) | 194 (85.1) | 0.027 |
| Day scholar | 44 (38.3) | 71 (61.7) |  | 25 (21.7) | 90 (78.3) |  |
| Parents' occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research based | 8 (25.8) | 23 (74.2) | 0.124 | 9 (29) | 22 (71) | 0.068 |
| Nonresearch based | 119 (38) | 194 (62) |  | 51 (16.3) | 262 (83.7) |  |

[^2]Table 5: Association between scores of attitude toward medical research with selected variables ( $n=344$ )

| Variables | Mean $\pm$ SD scores | $Z$ | $P$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $21.88(5.1)$ | -0.547 | 0.584 |
| $\quad$ Male | $21.5(4.3)$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Female | $22(41.7)$ | -1.785 | 0.074 |
| Residence | $21(4.6)$ |  |  |
| Hosteller |  |  |  |
| Day scholar | $21.8(4.8)$ | -1.665 | 0.096 |
| Renents' occupation | $20.2(3.47)$ |  |  |
| Nonresearch based |  |  |  |

Mann-Whitney U-test, $\boldsymbol{P}<0.05$ is significant. SD=Standard deviation
$59.1 \%$ of students had agreement (strongly agree plus agree) that conducting research is complex and stressful which is comparable with the previous study. ${ }^{[10]}$ Although the students have a positive attitude toward medical research their practice of conducting research is low this could be averted by reinforcing the fact that perceiving research is also a way of learning.

Alghamdi KM et al. ${ }^{[6]}$ in their study in Saudi Arabia found that only around half of the students participated in research during medical school although majority of participants felt that research is crucial in medical field. In the present study, only $34.3 \%$ has conducted research when compared to the Saudi study ( $55.3 \%$ ). A study done by Giri PA et al. ${ }^{[12]}$ in Central India showed that $59.5 \%$ found lack of time due to the curriculum as a barrier in conducting a study in comparable with our study (45.9\%). Similarly, the study done by Satav PJ et al. ${ }^{[10]}$ showed that $60 \%$ found lack of time as a barrier in conducting the research. A study conducted by Osman TA et al ${ }^{[13]}$ also infers that insufficient time ( $68.3 \%$ ) was one among the principle barriers in conducting research. Some of the barriers in conducting research among medical students could be more theoretical curriculum, lack of motivation, complexity of research, and casual attitude of the student. ${ }^{[14]}$ Addition of medical research courses and mandatory submission of research project with proper guidance from the faculties can help students allot proper time for conducting research along with their academic activities and it has a positive impact not only to the published institution but also the research output of the country.

Currently, in India, the status of undergraduate research is unsatisfactory and less static. To up bring the research knowledge among undergraduate students, the Indian Council of Medical Research has introduced short-term studentship. ${ }^{[15]}$ The program encourages the undergraduates to undertake research for 2 months in their own field of interest, and the students receive incentives and certificate as token of appreciation. Two-week hands-on training course on
research methodology will be useful to improvise the knowledge on research among various departments of undergraduates. Kishore Vaigyanik Protsahan Yojana program ${ }^{[16]}$ introduced by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, to identify and encourage talented students with an aptitude for research and encouraging by giving scholarship.

## Limitation

This study is a single-center study, multicenter study that should include representation from public and private medical schools could give a better picture of barriers and attitude of medical research among medical students.

## Conclusion

This study revealed that the knowledge and practice of medical research is average among the medical students. This study also ascertained potential barriers in doing medical research. Proper motivation and guidance from the teachers and parents should be present to develop the skills for conducting a medical research. Students who will walk the path of future research should have a sound knowledge about it.
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